TOWNSHIP OF LOWER MAKEFIELD
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MINUTES – JUNE 6, 2005

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Township of Lower Makefield was held in the Municipal Building on Monday, June 6, 2005. Chairman Pete Stainthorpe called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. noting that the Board had been meeting in Executive Session since 7:00 p.m. to discuss legal matters.

Those present:

Board of Supervisors:	Pete Stainthorpe, Chairman Grace Godshalk, Secretary/Treasurer Frank Fazzalore, Supervisor Steve Santarsiero, Supervisor
Others:	Jeffrey Garton, Township Solicitor Terry Fedorchak, Township Manager Robert Williams, Township Engineer Kenneth Coluzzi, Chief of Police
Absent:	Scott Fegley, Vice-Chairman Board of Supervisors

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. ROLL CALL

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Zachary Rubin, 1661 Covington Road, stated on May 7th there appeared a letter to the editor of the Bucks County Courier Times. He stated the letter was critical of many Supervisors on the first amendment to the Master Plan for developing Matrix. There was an editor's note after the letter stating, "On the advice of the Township attorney, Allan abstained from the second vote for approval of the controversial Matrix development". He stated on that vote, in the December 4, 2000 minutes that those present were Jeffrey Garton, Township Solicitor. Mr. Rubin asked Mr. Garton to corroborate the accuracy of the editor's note that said that Mr. Allan abstained on the advice of the Township attorney. Mr. Garton stated that he recalled, not vividly since it is now almost five years ago, Mr. Allan had a question because he had an interest in another shopping center and the perception as to whether it was a conflict or not. He stated if someone feels they have a conflict, than the appropriate thing to do is not to vote. Mr. Garton stated he couldn't remember the details of the conversation exactly.

Ms. Sue Herman asked Mr. Fedorchak what his findings were with his recent contact with Bob Pellegrino. Mr. Fedorchak asked if Ms. Herman was talking about the interconnect? Ms. Herman replied yes. Mr. Fedorchak stated back in February, Newtown Township asked PennDot to engage in the final phase of the interconnect project which would allow the signals to be traffic responsive. He stated the projected cost would be $40,000. He stated in a conversation he had with Bob Pellegrino one month ago, it was his understanding that PennDot would use in house personnel or hire a consultant to complete the final phase. He stated he would hear from Mr. Pellegrino in the near future. Ms. Herman stated is has been five to six months since PennDot's announcement at the Task Force meeting to install the traffic responsive signal and there is still no timeline. Ms. Herman asked Mr.

Fedorchak if he followed up with Mr. Gary Hoffman. She noted that it has been years since a maintenance agreement was signed for this project.

Mr. Fazzalore stated that he did not know if this was related, he had heard earlier in the year that PennDot would repave Heacock Road this year. He stated the last word from PennDot because of the shortage of money that Heacock Road may not get paved.

Ms. Herman asked if the Township would write a letter to Mr. Hoffman asking for a timeline for the interconnect. Mr. Stainthorpe stated that Newtown has the lead on this project but agreed the Township should write a letter to Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Fedorchak stated that he would follow-up the letter with a telephone call to Mr. Pellegrino. Ms. Herman asked if the letter could be sent to Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Fedorchak replied yes and noted that Representative Steil and Petri should be carbon copied on the letter.

Ms. Virginia Torbert, 1700 Yardley-Newtown Road stated the Board took the road tour last week and asked if they saw the loop ramp project proceeding. Ms. Torbert stated she went to the Toll Commission meeting recently and they recommended widening the road to six lanes over the Scudders Falls Bridge to the Newtown Interchange. She asked if the Board would take a position on the road widening? Ms. Torbert also asked what would happen at the interchange with the widening before plans have been drawn up for widening at Scudders Falls Bridge? Ms. Torbert stated that she asked questions of the Bridge Commission and they said to direct her questions to PennDot.

Mr. Stainthorpe said one the reasons the on-ramp is proceeding is because these are funds that were allocated and design work that was done years ago to correct problems that we have now and the Township had to move forward. He stated that the Township does not have an official position, but feels safe in saying amongst conversations with the Board that they favor widening on the inside as opposed to the outside. Mr. Fazzalore stated that he thought the Board took that position.

Mr. Santarsiero stated that he didn't think the Board officially voted on that position, but the night the Bridge Commission came to a meeting in February, the Board made it clear we have many residents who live bordering I-95 and that area between the Scudders Falls Bridge and Route 332. He stated the residents are concerned about an outside widening because of the possible taking of land on either side. Mr. Santarsiero stated at the last meeting held by the Bridge Commission at Penwood, he thought the Bridge Commission is going after an inside expansion, yet they have not made that decision. He stated all of the engineering issues would favor an inside expansion and would be much less work for the Bridge Commission. He stated the land is there already, they would not have to deal with condemnation or potential drainage issues if they went on the outside and hopefully would expand on the outside. Mr. Santarsiero stated the issue Ms. Torbert raises is a good issue and would not be a problem because the current loop is being on the outer edge of the road. He stated that when you go from a three-lane road in each direction down to a two-lane road the outcome is unclear. Mr. Santarsiero that Bridge Commission is doing this project under a memorandum of agreement with PennDot, so PennDot is not completely divorced from that work so there should be better communication between the two parties. He stated this must be done now because of the existing problems. Mr. Santarsiero stated the Scudders Falls Bridge is not slated to start until 2008. Ms. Torbert stated that planning for the bridge expansion is taking place now and PennDot is nowhere to be seen.

Mr. Santarsiero stated there is another issue brought up at the road tour and at the meeting at Penwood School and that is the issue of sound barriers. He stated the consensus on the Board is there is a need for sound barriers. He stated there are also storm water drainage issues the Township has a say in and need to be addressed. He suggested the Township send a letter to the Bridge Commission to consider these issues with the expansion, especially the sound barriers. Ms. Torbert stated that she thinks the Bridge Commission wants to expand from the outside.

Mr. Stainthorpe stated he did not attend the Penwood public meeting but attended the open house and he got the opposite feeling than Virginia from the Bridge Commission regarding the bridge expansion.

Ms. Godshalk stated halfway between the Dolington cross bridge and Scudders Falls going north there is not enough room for two lanes on the inside. She stated there is a concrete barrier there now and the grass narrows down. Mr. Santarsiero said the Bridge Commission could take some room on the outside to do an inside lane. Mr. Williams said as you get closer to the Scudders Falls Bridge there will have to be some transition after the Dolington Bridge.

Mr. Fazzalore said he heard the old bridge would be abandoned. Mr. Williams said eventually yes. Mr. Stainthorpe asked if the Bridge Commission schedules a public update every six months. Mr. Fedorchak said he was not certain. Mr. Stainthorpe suggested scheduling the Bridge Commission to come to a Board of Supervisors meeting in September to discuss the project with the Township and public. Mr. Santarsiero suggested scheduling such a meeting sooner. Mr. Fazzalore suggested scheduling a meeting with the Bridge Commission away from a regular Board of Supervisors meeting. Ms. Torbert asked the Township to invite a representative from PennDot.

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Havrilla, 15 Lower Hilltop Road, stated they are appealing Zoning Variance No. 05-1303 (Dupuy), noting the variance is frivolous. Mr. Havrilla stated the Township didn't take into consideration any points they presented at the Zoning Hearing Board meeting and asked the Board to review the matter. He stated he and his wife have retained legal counsel. Mr. Stainthorpe stated the Board of Supervisors appoint the members of the Zoning Hearing Board for specific terms and operate independent of our control. He stated the only thing the Board can do is when they review a matter ahead of time, they decide if they want to send the Solicitor to be in opposition. Mr. Stainthorpe stated in this case they did not send the Solicitor because it appeared to be fairly routine. He stated once the Zoning Hearing Board makes a ruling the Board does not have the power to over rule. Mrs. Godshalk stated the Board does have the power within thirty days. Mr. Garton stated the Board could appeal but could not overrule. Mr. Stainthorpe stated someone would need to go to the Court of Common Pleas to appeal this matter and the Board could not vote tonight on this matter.

Mr. Havrilla stated he would appeal the variance in Doylestown. Mrs. Havrilla stated ten minutes into presenting her testimony, the Zoning Hearing Board told her she was done giving testimony and would not let her continue. She stated she did not get a chance to speak and felt that what was said was incorrectly recorded. Mr. Havrilla stated a previous Board, relating to tax parcel 20-20-81, case 412 noted the request of 66%, approximately what our neighbors are looking for, is far beyond the usual request for a variance. He stated the variance was denied. He asked why nothing occurred that night they were at the hearing. He state in addition to the side setback Dupuy is taking away from him and potential water problems, he is doing a tremendous amount of additional work and looking at the his numbers, he is sure that he is going above the impervious surface maximum because he has permits all over the place.

Mrs. Havrilla stated her neighborhood has talked about the bridge expansion and how they are already affected by water problems and this variance would make the problems worse. She stated that the Township approved storing commercial and recreational vehicles on her neighbor's property putting the homeowner within 7% of the maximum impervious restriction. Mrs. Havrilla presented a letter from a neighbor in support of the variance appeal. Mr. Stainthorpe stated the Board couldn't do anything but recommend an appeal to Doylestown.

Mr. Fazzalore asked Mr. Garton if the Board could appeal the decision. Mr. Garton stated the application the Board saw was a variance for the heighth of the roof unless there was an application made orally to amend the application. Mr. and Mrs. Havrilla that is not the variance they are referring to, noting the homeowner is Dupuy, Variance No. 05-1303. Mr. Havrilla stated the decision was rendered on May 17, 2005 and asked if they could finish giving their testimony. Mr. Stainthorpe said no, the Board should now decide if it should join Mr. and Mrs. Havrilla in an action in court and would discuss in executive session because this is a legal matter.

Mr. Santarsiero stated the next step is to go to the Court of Common Pleas and what the Board is talking about is joining Mr. and Mrs. Havrilla in that application. He stated the phase of Mr. and Mrs. Havrilla presenting testimony to the Township is over, depending upon what the Court of Common Pleas decides. Mr. and Mrs. Havrilla may be back again in front of the Zoning Hearing Board.

Mr. Garton asked for the name of the neighbor. Mr. Havrilla stated the neighbor's name is Dupuy. Mrs. Havrilla stated that Mr. Dupuy has been storing commercial and recreational vehicles on his property and does not know how the zoning ordinance was changed to allow him to do that. Mr. Havrilla stated that just obliterates the R-2 zoning requirements, stating they have a vested interest in this matter because they live next door.

Mr. Garton stated he would pull the file and report to the Board with his advice and would discuss this at the next meeting, which would be before the thirty-day period runs out. Mr. Fazzalore stated the thirty-day period would run out by their next meeting. Mr. Garton stated he would circulate information about the matter and verbally agree and reaffirm the Township's decision at the next meeting to meet the thirty-day requirement to appeal. Mr. Havrilla asked if they should have their attorney contact Mr. Garton. Mr. Garton replied yes.

Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there was any further public comment; seeing none the meeting moved on.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Santarsiero moved, Mr. Fazzalore seconded and it was unanimously carried to approve the Minutes of May 16, 2005 as written.

APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2086 – REQUEST OF FARMLAND PRESERVATION, INC. FOR INLCUSION OF TAX PARCEL NO. 20-017-047 (CLEARVIEW), IN LOWER MAKEFIELD TOWNSHIP AGRICULTURAL SECURITY DISTRICT

Mr. Santarsiero moved and Mr. Fazzalore seconded to approve Resolution No. 2086 the request of Farmland Preservation, Inc. for the inclusion of tax parcel no. 20-017-047 (Clearview) in the Lower Makefield Township Security Agricultural Security District.

The motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE NO. 351 AUTHORIZING PARTICIPATION IN AN INTER-GOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF NEOGOTIATING A FRANCHISE AGREEMENT WITH VERIZON

Mr. Fazzalore moved and Mr. Santarsiero seconded to approve Ordinance No. 351 authorizing participation in an Inter-Governmental agreement for the purpose of negotiating a franchise agreement with Verizon. Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there is any discussion from the Board and none was noted. Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there was any discussion from the public and none was noted.

Mr. Stainthorpe stated that Verizon is installing fiber optic wire and cable throughout the Township and eventually they may be able to deliver cable television services. He stated the Township is joining with the surrounding municipalities to be sure the Township could continue to collect the franchise tax from Verizon just as we do with Comcast.

Mrs. Godshalk opened the meeting as a public hearing on Ordinance No. 351. No public comment was noted. The motion carried.

Mr. Fedorchak stated that he would be meeting tomorrow with other participating municipalities to go over some of the details and would report back to the Board.

DISCUSSION OF FIELDSTONE AT LOWER MAKEFIELD PLAN NO. 549

Mr. Garton stated there is a discussion scheduled with the developer of Fieldstone, who is Hovnanian not about the ongoing efforts to cleanup the land fill, but two planning issues associated with the through street and improvements to Edgewood Road. There will be no approvals contemplated tonight, just to poll the Board on their reaction to the improvements.

Mr. Michael Macaninch of K. Hovnanian and Mr. John Hako of Boucher and James were present at the meeting looking for direction from the Board as to the plans for the residential development. Mr. Macaninch stated they are proposing widening Long Acre Lane, which is an existing temporary cul-de-sac and one entrance off of Edgewood Drive. He stated the development would consist of 43 dwellings with access from Edgewood Road. Mr. Macaninch stated one of the issues in his letter to Mr. Fedorchak and the Board is the widening of Long Acre Lane over a culvert. They are attempting to narrow the impression into the street area as much as possible. He stated he consulted with the Planning Commission to minimize the drag-way affect with having a long strait-away to having a speed reducing/calming affect.

Mr. Macaninch stated there are issues of reducing the road from 36 feet to 26 feet. Mr. Garton asked if this is just the portion where you cross the culvert with a taper so it narrows down and widens up? Mr. Hako replied yes with 125 feet taper from 33 feet down to 26 feet. Mrs. Godshalk asked if the narrow part fronts on building lots or open space. Mr. Hako said it is adjacent to a retention basin. Mrs. Godshalk asked how the parking would be dealt with in that area. Mr. Hako said the plans meet with all the parking criteria and would have overflow parking limited to the area by the retention basin. Mr. Godshalk stated the Fire Department should review and sign off on these plans before the Board gives a blanket approval. Mr. Macaninch stated the revised plans would be re-submitted to the emergency

providers. Mr. Garton stated there does not seem to be an objection as long as emergency providers are satisfied.

Mr. Macaninch stated the second issue relates to Edgewood Road improvements. Mr. Hako stated the original plans meet the PennDot requirements for entrance into the development. He stated since then, Edgewood Road was decommissioned from a PennDot road to Lower Makefield, with a speed limit decrease. Mr. Hako stated the concern is 550 feet east of the site is the Brock Creek Bridge crossing to where the road narrows to 20 ½ feet where a driver is driving 40 M.P.H. down to 25 M.P.H. He stated the thought is we would attempt to reduce traffic with a narrower road.

Mr. Stainthorpe stated that he doesn't agree with narrowing the road. He stated what the Board is asking to do is consistent with the way the rest of the road is on that portion. Mr. Stainthorpe stated there are signs that read, "speed limit reduced ahead." He stated since more homes are being developed, we should plan for the future with a bigger and wider road. Mr. Fazzalore asked if there are any bike paths planned. Mrs. Godshalk stated she thought there was an existing bike path on Long Acre Lane. Mr. Hako stated he thought what Mrs. Godshalk is talking about is a sidewalk. Mr. Santarsiero stated the Planning Commission said the path on Long Acre Lane is a sidewalk.

Mr. Fazzalore asked Mr. Macaninch and Mr. Hako if they plan to discuss the bigger issue about the development tonight. Mr. Garton stated that K. Hovnanian hasn't finished their discussions with the EPA yet. Mr. Stainthorpe said to Mr. Macaninch and Mr. Hako that they should to make the improvements.

Mrs. Godshalk asked for information about the three out parcels. Mr. Hako stated there had been some talk at the first Planning Commission meeting to extend the sidewalk from the out parcels to Long Acre. Mr. Garton stated it needs to be determined they have the right-of-way, and he thinks there is an obligation to bring public sewer to those three houses if they take the right-of-way for road improvements. He stated he is not sure where public sewer is in relation to those three houses and could they be served. Mr. Hako stated their plan shows an extension of the Fieldstone sewer system between two of the proposed lots to the manholes close to the out parcels. Mr. Garton asked if the water flows by gravity to the manhole. Mr. Hako stated the Heinz property flows by gravity as shown by a field survey. Mr. Garton stated that he thought the other two properties would flow by gravity also. Mr. Hako stated the gravity flow is a little less than needed to flow.

Mr. Fazzalore asked for a status on the environmental cleanup. Mr. Macaninch stated that Princeton Hydro has been providing updates, meeting with the EPA and following steps to satisfy the ACT 2 EPA requirements. Mr. Fazzalore stated the public should be notified when purchasing a lot at this development that it was used as a dump at one time. Mr. Stainthorpe stated the Board would not give its approval on the plans until it has EPA approval.

Ms. Herman stated that wider lanes promote faster speeds. She asked if K. Hovnanian would consider traffic calming in and near the development. Mr. Stainthorpe stated the speed limit is 40 M.P.H. Mr. Hako stated that Ms. Herman is talking about interior traffic calming on Long Acre Lane. He stated they plan to extend the deceleration and acceleration lanes.

Mr. Fazzalore asked if Mr. Williams would discuss the recent seven-page report he received from K. Hovnanian tonight. Mr. Williams said not tonight.

Mr. Stainthorpe asked if Mr. Macaninch and Mr. Hako were satisfied with the Board's input tonight. Mr. Macaninch reiterated that the Board is not happy with the proposed improvements on Edgewood Road but was happy with Long Acre Lane. Mr. Stainthorpe replied yes.

AWARD BID FOR CONCRETE CURB RAMPS TO GIOVANNONE CONSTRUCTION

Mr. Williams stated the Township received three bids ranging from $14,480 to a high of $23,000. He stated the bid of $14,480, received by Giovannone Construction, is the same company that completed the curb ramp program last year. He stated both his office and Mr. Coyne's office are satisfied and therefore recommend the Township award the bid to the low bidder and pursue this curb ramp project. Mr. Williams stated the curb ramp project would be done in Yardley Hunt Development.

Mr. Fazzalore asked when the Township would complete all of the curb ramps in the Township, noting the Township has been working in this project for fifteen years. Mr. Williams stated that he commends the Township for doing this project on its own, as many Townships do not install curb cuts until they are sued. He stated that he did not know how many curb cuts were left to install.

Mr. Fazzalore moved and Mrs. Godshalk seconded to award the bid for concrete ramps to Giovannone Construction in the amount of $14,480. The motion carried.

SUPERVISORS' REPORTS

Mr. Stainthorpe stated the Emergency Management Committee would meet this week. He stated he and Mrs. Godshalk attended a seminar about preparedness and planning for weapons of mass destruction. He stated that our Police Chief should not be the only one to be prepared for an emergency of that magnitude but public officials should also be prepared. Mr. Stainthorpe stated the Golf Course is doing very well and the Township newsletter is at the printer.

Mr. Pat Frain, Delaware Rim Road said being a rail employee it is important to get information to the public about preparedness in the event of an emergency and the different procedures.

Mrs. Godshalk stated the Golf Course is doing great, many outings are planned and the Junior Golf Program has been filled. She stated the Historical Commission and Board of Auditors would be meeting next week. Mrs. Godshalk stated the memorial has been advertised for bid two times and no applications have been picked up yet.

Mr. Fazzalore stated the Philadelphia section of the Sons of Italy pledged $13,000 to the Garden of Reflection.

Mr. Fazzalore stated the lights were approved at Elm Lowne months ago and asked why haven't the lights been installed. Mr. Fedorchak stated there was a two-month turnover for the equipment to install the lights and the lights will be installed in two weeks.

Mr. Fazzalore stated the members of the Sewer Board met with the Sewer Authority to discuss the difficulties with the main lateral that is collapsing at the lower end. He stated the Sewer Authority showed the Sewer Board what they had done so far to televise the sewer and showed what deplorable condition the sewer was in. The Sewer Board authorized the Sewer Authority to televise the rest of the

system from where they finished into Bridge Street. He stated this would be a big project because of the considerable deterioration.

Mr. Santarsiero stated that Lower Makefield will reach out to Yardley Borough to share in the costs to replace the system as they have a 15% share in the sewer system.

Mr. Zachary Rubin stated that if the sewer line could be televised, why can't the Board of Supervisors meetings?

Mr. Santarsiero stated the Southeastern Bucks League of Municipalities met last week. He stated Mr. Jim Bray of the Environmental Advisory Council talked at the meeting to members of the League to persuade the municipalities to use native plants. Mr. Bray also talked about the use of organic fertilizer versus chemical fertilizer. Mr. Santarsiero stated the Township should outreach to the public about using native species and organic fertilizers. Mr. Santarsiero asked the Board and Township to consider implementing these concepts.

Mr. Santarsiero stated the Township recently hosted a seminar on low impact development, which was organized by Ms. Karen Friedman and the Environmental Advisory Council. He stated the seminar speaker talked about adopting different methods to recharge groundwater to prevent runoff problems we have in the area.

Mr. Santarsiero suggested having another seminar in the future where Township officials should attend to learn to be more environmentally friendly.

Mr. Santarsiero stated that he used the water slide at the pool this weekend and liked it. He stated the pool was really crowded and the water slide drew a lot of people.

Mr. Santarsiero stated this issue of transporting nerve gas by rail from Indiana to New Jersey through Bucks County has come up again. He stated the idea of neutralizing the nerve gas at the source before it is transported. Mr. Santarsiero stated that New Jersey is trying to stop the transport entirely through Bucks County into New Jersey. He suggested the Township adopt a resolution to oppose the transport of the nerve gas and to write letters to elected officials asking them to research the affect of transporting the nerve gas through the area.

Mrs. Godshalk stated that she brought up this issue at a meeting last week in Washington, D.C. She stated she read a newspaper article that Washington, D.C. does not allow freight trains through let alone hazardous materials waste. Mrs. Godshalk stated that hazardous material waste should be treated before transport. She stated that the waste would be dumped into the Delaware River and the Township should object.

Mr. Santarsiero moved to make a resolution in opposition to the proposed transportation of nerve gas through Bucks County and attempt to combine that with a joint resolution with other municipalities. Mr. Santarsiero asked that letters be sent to our state and federal representatives and join with the municipalities. Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there was any discussion from the Board and none was noted. Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there was any discussion from the public.

Ms. Herman asked if the resolution would only deal with the public transport of the nerve gas. She stated the resolution should also deal with dumping in the Delaware River. Mrs. Godshalk stated the

position should be to stop the nerve gas from being transported at all and treat it at the source. Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there was any other discussion.

Mrs. Godshalk seconded the motion and the motion was unanimously carried. Mr. Santarsiero stated that he would draft the resolution.

Mr. Richard Havrilla, 15 Hilltop Road asked why the Zoning Hearing Board matters were not on the agenda. Mr. Stainthorpe and Mrs. Godshalk stated there were no new cases.

APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2085 AUTHORIZING THE TOWNSHIP TO PURCHASE THROUGH THE PA CAPITAL AUTOMOTIVE AND EQUIPMENT CONTRACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMMONWEALTH PROCUREMENT CODE

Mr. Fazzalore moved and Mr. Santarsiero seconded and it was unanimously carried to approve Resolution No. 2085 authorizing the Township to purchase through the PA Capital Automotive and Equipment Contract in accordance with the Commonwealth Procurement Code.

APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2087 AUTHORIZING DESTRUCTION OF CERTAIN SPECIFIC RECORDS

Mr. Stainthorpe stated that this relates to records the Township has dating back to 1997 such as sewer bills, etc. He stated there is specific legislation that permits the Township to dispose of paper after a period of time and the Board must vote on this. Mr. Fazzalore stated this is in accordance with Pennsylvania state law. Mr. Fedorchak stated the Township must keep the records for seven years and when the seven years has expired and the Township can dispose of records, because of limited space, they do.

Mrs. Havrilla asked if the Township disposes of permits. Mr. Fedorchak stated no.

Mr. Santarsiero moved and Mrs. Godshalk seconded to approve resolution No. 2087 authorizing destruction of 1997 sewer billing records including billing register, trial balance, certifications, file maintenance, usage reports, mere readings, aging reports and proof listings; 1996 and 1997 accounts payable records including accounts payable files, invoice entries, invoice maintenance, PO's and proofs, BIU invoices, PA cone call requests, fax journals, sewer invoices and vendor maintenance.

Mr. Santarsiero asked what are PA one-call requests. Mr. Williams stated they are call before dig requests. Mr. Santarsiero asked what are fax journals. Mr. Fedorchak stated they are a compilation of all faxes relating to accounts payable.

Mr. Stainthorpe asked if there was any discussion on the motion and none was noted. The motion carried.

APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Fazzalore moved to appoint Mr. Bob Archibald to the Sewer Authority. Mrs. Godshalk seconded and the motion carried.

Mrs. Godshalk moved to re-appoint Marilyn Huret to the Emergency Management Board. Mr. Fazzalore seconded and the motion carried.

Mr. Stainthorpe stated that last summer the Township adopted the International Building Code as the Township's building standard. The Township is required as part of that Code to have an appeals board made up of people who are not members of other boards and have some knowledge of the construction industry. Mr. Stainthorpe appointed two of the three members, Mr. Tom Mack to a three-year term, Mr. Richard Nailer to a two-year term. Mrs. Godshalk seconded and the motion carried.

There being no further business, Mr. Stainthorpe moved and Mrs. Fazzalore seconded and it was unanimously carried to adjourn the meeting at 8:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Grace M. Godshalk
Grace Godshalk, Secretary