

Lower Makefield Township  
Patterson Farm Master Plan Implementation Committee (PFMPIC)  
Minutes – October 28, 2025

1. Call to Order – Mr. Steadman, Chair, called the meeting to order.

A. Attendees – Dennis Steadman, Chair, Peter Solor, Vice Chair, Fred Childs, Secretary, Joe Camaratta, Member, Ron Schmid, Member, Jennifer Stark, Member

Absent- Matt Ross, Supervisor Liaison

B. Comments by the Chair – Mr. Steadman

The Committee was charged to help the Township execute the Patterson Farm Master Plan which was unanimously approved by the Board of Supervisors in December, 2023. The Committee has been working with the Township to advance that; and while progress was made in 2024, progress has been a little halting in 2025.

2. Review and Approval of August 24, 2025 Meeting Minutes – Mr. Childs

Mr. Schmid moved, Mr. Camaratta seconded and it was unanimously carried to approve the Minutes of October 28, 2025.

Mr. Childs requested that he be provided the presentation from AOY and the report from Mr. Solor which need to be attached to these Minutes.

2. Subcommittee Updates & Challenges

A. Building Protection & Enhancement – Mr. Childs, Mr. Solor, Ms. Stark

Mr. Solor stated Mr. Majewski sent a notice that the hazardous abatement contract had been issued but there have been no emails regarding the Phase 2 Environmental Studies or the Grant Application. Mr. Steadman stated he was advised today that the Bucks County Redevelopment Authority did not get the Grant they had hoped for which the Township was hoping could be used for the Phase 2 Assessment and some remediation so that is no longer

an option. The Township is assessing costs for the Township to do that solo. The contents of the containers that were found in the barn have not been assessed, and the Township needs to hire an expert to assess those contents to determine what the remediation may involve. Mr. Solor stated that would be part of the Phase 2.

Mr. Solor stated while painting was done, everything else is continuing to deteriorate because progress has not gone as quickly as anticipated. It had been anticipated the Satter-thwaite farmhouse would already be under a sub-Lease and it would be being worked on to stabilize it, but that has not happened. Mr. Steadman stated the condition of the buildings is going backwards in some cases. He stated not every building was painted, and the lengthy process is working against the structures.

Ms. Bette Sovinee, Executive Director of AOY Art Center and 44-year Township resident, stated she received an e-mail from Mr. Majewski that the contractor is starting asbestos abatement at the pack house on November 10.

Mr. Camaratta asked if the Township could use their own funds for the Phase 2 Assessment since that is needed to be able to move forward. Mr. Steadman stated the Township to date has spent about \$200,000 of the \$700,000 that was budgeted for this project. Mr. Kratzer advised today that he expects about \$500,000 will be carried over into next year, although the Budget has not yet been approved; and the Phase 2 would have to come out of that \$500,000.

#### B. Non-Profit & Funding – Mr. Camaratta, Mr. Schmid, Mr. Steadman

Mr. Schmid stated there has been no movement on creating the 501C3. Mr. Camaratta stated we had received a proposed Master Lease Agreement to which we responded, but we have not heard anything back on the Lease Agreement. Mr. Steadman stated it is necessary to enter into a Lease between the Township and a pending non-profit to manage the structures, and that non-profit could then raise funds to support the activities. The Lease is the foundation of the Master Plan, and the Committee has been asking for it all year.

### C. Community Communications – Mr. Schmid

Mr. Schmid stated the Historic Commission wanted to have a bi-partisan briefing at the Township Building and invited the PFMPIC members to attend. Only the two Democrats, Colin Coyle and Judi Reiss, were willing to speak with them at a meeting at Mr. Coyle's house. Mr. Schmid stated he sent a follow-up note to Dave Sabogal, the GOP Chair, and to Brendan Peppard, the LMT Supervisor candidate, inviting them to come to a PFMPIC meeting but he heard nothing further. At Mr. Coyle's house Mr. Schmid and Mr. Camaratta discussed the vision, objectives, and the timeline of the Committee as well as the frustration of the Committee with moving forward with the 501C3. Mr. Coyle and Ms. Reiss agreed that after the election they would like to visit the farm and get a further briefing on some of the issues that were raised at the meeting.

Mr. Camaratta stated there was a discussion about the Master Lease Agreement, but it was a less-encouraging discussion than he would have hoped it would have been; and there were questions about a 501C3 being able to manage the property going forward. There was a recommendation about having a short-term lease of three to four years. Mr. Camaratta stated he does not feel that is sufficient as they would not be able to raise significant funds if they only have a lease for three to four years. Mr. Schmid stated Mr. Colin wanted to look further into the proposed 99-year lease. Mr. Schmid stated there was concern about transferring over responsibility to a 501C3.

Mr. Camaratta stated there needs to be more education that the Committee is not proposing a model that has not been tested, and there are a number of places in the State where the State has turned historic properties over for management by a 501C3. He added the Committee got the first version of what a Master Lease Agreement would look like from the State; and the people from the State could come in and discuss this with the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Steadman stated a 99-year lease has never been proposed. He stated the last version of the lease had it at 29 years.

Mr. Schmid stated at the meeting he felt that Mr. Coyle and Ms. Reiss had not conceptualized the approach of a 501C3.

He stated he feels it should be demonstrated that the Committees looked at nine 501C3s at Mr. Grenier's suggestion; and all of them, including the State, had the same recommendation which is what was forwarded to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Steadman stated there has been no dialogue between the Committee and the Board of Supervisors directly although the Committee does speak to Mr. Kratzer.

Ms. Sovinee was asked to speak about what AOY has done recently reaching out to the community. Ms. Sovinee stated last Friday they opened their art show and did an unveiling of the Monet visiting sculpture from the Seward Johnson Group (Grounds for Sculpture). The sculpture is Monet painting the barn and points to the future; and they hope that future happens and fulfills the Master Plan. Ms. Sovinee stated the event was very well attended, and there were social media postings and an article in the Herald following the event.

Mr. Schmid stated the AOY plans for fundraising are starting to develop over the next several months. He asked Ms. Sovinee what they would do with the fund under the current organization, and Ms. Sovinee stated there are things that have to be done in the Janney House and they also provide scholarships to the regional high schools. She stated they also do educational programming and outreach to the community, and they will fundraise for that. She stated to date they have not gotten any response from the Township about being able to fundraise for the eventual arts village or the buildings.

Mr. Schmid asked if there are also people who want to rent part of the facility, and Ms. Sovinee stated they have been approached about having a jazz clinic in the pack house, turning the barn into performing centers, and woodworkers are always interested in one of the garages. Ms. Sovinee stated once the painting was done and was publicized, they received phone calls from people wanting to rent studio space.

Mr. Steadman stated attending the AOY event reminded him of the good that could be done at the site for our community on a bigger and more frequent scale.

Ms. Sovinee stated they are looking to collaborate with the Michener as the keepers of the Bucks County Impressionists Collection and are going to do some joint programming in the spring with them.

#### D. National Registry Submission – Mr. Camaratta

Mr. Camaratta stated they are on track to get the Submission prepared by the end of the year. He is currently working on the architectural descriptions of the buildings. He will meet with Mr. Hirko and Ms. Doan on the site this week to discuss the names and historic uses of the buildings. Last year Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation office (PA SHPO) representatives visited the site and provided direction on making a submission, and they offered the opportunity to submit the materials before the official submission which they will review and provide feedback. Once feedback is received, the submission will be finalized.

#### 4. Committee's Future Directions – Mr. Steadman, All

Mr. Steadman stated progress was made in 2024 in terms of planning, and 2025 was to be the year of action; however, that has not occurred.

Mr. Steadman read the following: "The goals of the Patterson Farm Master Plan are clear. It is to preserve, restore, and activate this historic and agricultural property for the benefit of our community. Doing so not only protects an irreplaceable part of our Township's heritage, but also enhances the value and utility of this Lower Makefield-owned asset.

The charge of this Committee is straight-forward-to assist the Township in initiating the implementation of the Plan until stewardship can be transferred to a dedicated non-profit which is a model that has been recommended by every expert that we have interacted with. In essence our role is to help the Township increase the value of this community asset and finally resolve the long-standing 'problems' of Patterson Farm.

A long-term lease to an aligned non-profit committed to executing the Plan remains the cornerstone of this implementation. Unfortunately progress towards these goals in 2025 has been slow and discouraging.

To any objective observer the Board of Supervisors' actions or lack thereof in 2025 raise legitimate doubts about the commitment to the Plan unanimously approved in the fourth quarter of 2023.

If the Township is unwilling or unable to move forward with the preservation and utilization of the property, this Committee simply cannot fulfill its purpose. This reality warrants serious discussion tonight about whether continuation of our work is still justified. That said, it is possible that with upcoming changes to the Board in 2026 may bring renewed focus and willingness to act. For that reason, while I personally believe that our mission here has largely run its course under current conditions, it may be prudent for the Committee to continue for a short time longer to see whether the new Board re-commits to executing the Plan and preserving this vital community resource."

Mr. Solor stated the assumptions that went into the development of the Master Plan did assume a certain time period; and the longer that process gets stretched out, the harder it is to accomplish many of the objectives that are listed in it. He stated while some things have progressed that the Committee can control directly, we cannot proceed without support; and we will lose ground on the physical infrastructure if the process does not start speeding up which was the original concern with the property being that a lot of the buildings were falling into disrepair.

Mr. Camaratta stated the Township under Mr. Kratzer's leadership has moved forward with some initial steps, but that does not constitute significant progress. He stated it had been indicated early on that if we cannot find a use for a building, it will continue to deteriorate. He stated the Master Plan recommendation of having a non-profit take responsibility for making sure that the buildings are restored and put to use must move forward.

Mr. Schmid stated at many of the meetings the Committee keeps asking for creation of the 501C3 to move this forward; and the longer this takes, the less we can do any fundraising. He stated there are people who want to rent the facilities that cannot do so. He stated the buildings continue to deteriorate. He stated he feels the Committee should give serious consideration to taking a break and come back if it is appropriate with a new charge and clear guidelines as to how to proceed.

Mr. Childs stated most of the Committee members have been here through the whole process from the initial Ad Hoc Property Committee. He stated he is grateful for the commitment of Supervisor Blundi who

spearheaded getting the Ad Hoc Property Committee started and was one of the initial liaisons, helping to push this forward, and kept it going to the point where we developed the Master Plan. He stated last year the whole Board of Supervisors approved the funding and the Master Plan itself; and while he understands that there were other issues for the Board of Supervisors to deal with, it is obvious that there has been no direct leadership to have a non-profit and the Lease Agreement pursued and completed. He stated until the Board of Supervisors commits to the program, there is nothing further that the Committee can do. He stated it has been heard through community forums over the years, that the community wants to see something done at the Patterson Farm; and he does not feel the community will be happy to see it continue to waste away and have the funds that were committed to this not be used.

Ms. Stark stated those on the Committee serve at the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors. She stated she feels the Committee could take a deliberate pause with the understanding that we remain committed to our charge; but we look to leadership to tell us when they are ready to take up the same cause, and we will work with them. She recommended to take a pause and wait for new leadership to give the Committee the directive and the commitment that they will support the Committee working with them to provide the steps to carry out the Master Plan directive.

Mr. Steadman stated it appears that the Committee is willing to pick up the cause when invited to do so under the right environment. He stated the Plan was developed with a timeline, and it was felt that within a few years the Committee would be disbanded because it would no longer be needed since the property would be managed by a non-profit; however, there is not yet a lease for the non-profit. He stated once a lease is signed the non-profit will need time to get an Executive Director and a capital campaign started to raise the funds necessary to have an impact on the buildings. Mr. Steadman stated in 2024 it was felt there could be at least \$400,000 from donations if there was a non-profit in place to take those funds, but that has gone fallow because of the lack of action. He stated he would be in support of a Motion that the Committee take a pause with the understanding that the Committee is willing and able to continue working on this if and when the Township asks them to when they are committed to begin to execute the Master Plan.

Mr. Camaratta stated while he agrees that once the Lease Agreement is in place it will fall onto the non-profit to do the fundraising, he feels there is still important preparation work to be done which is to prepare the farm

so that it can have volunteers and people at the farm. He stated they are making slow progress on that since the Phase 1 Study has been done, and the asbestos abatement is being done now. He stated he is concerned that if the Committee pauses, that work will stop; and he feels that work has gone forward with the help of Mr. Kratzer as well as the Committee pushing it forward.

Mr. Steadman stated while there has been some advancement in the environmental assessments and clean-up it has been exceedingly slow. He stated the Committee has been talking about getting a Phase 2 and doing a \$21,000 asbestos clean-up for six months; and we cannot move at that pace. He stated this pace is why we were told that every other similar property was turned over to a non-profit from a Municipal Government. He stated the Township needs to be ready to act with some urgency on the environmental clean-up so a non-profit can begin its work.

Mr. Childs stated he is concerned that if the Committee takes a pause, the Board of Supervisors will decide to let it go and not recall the Committee to continue the work. He stated with the Committee continuing to contact Mr. Kratzer, Mr. Majewski, and others, there is at least some impetus on the Township staff's part to keep things moving. He stated he would like to see a strongly-worded indication to the Board of Supervisors that unless the Committee sees some indication of commitment and movement on these issues, by next year the Committee may disband because it is not accomplishing anything.

Mr. Schmid stated he is in favor of a pause. He stated he feels AOY can get volunteers on their side. He stated we have not heard much from Ms. Doan and those who are going to run the Satterthwaite farm, and he does not know where they are with volunteers. He stated the Committee was created to save the buildings. Mr. Camaratta stated the volunteers help to save the buildings, and he does not feel AOY can get volunteers to work outside of the Janney Brown house. Mr. Camaratta stated all of the pricing that was done in the Master Plan was based on prevailing wages which is very expensive, and it is important to have volunteer labor where appropriate. He stated Patterson Farm Preservation has offered to come on before; but it was always stated that volunteers cannot work on the buildings because of the environmental issues. He stated the first stage of money was to be used to resolve those issues so that the non-profit could raise funds and bring in volunteer help to do some of the work.

Mr. Solor stated he agrees that the Board of Supervisors could forget about this unless there is a big issue in the future. He stated by that time everything will have gotten worse, and the effort will have to start over again because it had gotten shelved. He stated he therefore feels the Committee should continue to meet even though it will probably be frustrating. He stated there is a substantial amount of work that will not be able to be done by volunteers. He stated at one point Patterson Farm Preservation had indicated that they would deal with the lead paint issue at Satterthwaite, which is why we did not paint there. He stated roofs will need to be done by contractors, and there are other Grants which could continue to be applied for. He suggested that the Committee meet every other month.

Mr. Steadman stated he appreciates that if the Committee is not encouraging the Township to proceed, it will probably go even slower. He stated the Committee was formed to help the Township implement the Plan – not to prod the Township to implement the Plan. He stated the Committee has not been able to motivate the Township to implement the Plan. He stated if the Township is willing to let the buildings fall down, he questions why there would be environmental clean-ups as that money would be wasted if nothing further is done. He stated the Committee was to help the Township identify and prioritize so that they could turn it over to another entity; however, it seems that they are unwilling to turn it over to another entity. He stated there has been no dialogue between the Committee and the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Camaratta stated at the end of 2024 the Committee did not feel discouraged or ignored, and they were optimistic. He stated there will be Board changes in January, and hopefully those changes will bring in a renewed vigor and commitment for this project. He stated he feels the Committee should continue to meet every other month until March; and by then we would have a clear picture to see if things are moving forward or if it is the same as 2025, and we would then shut it down until people are ready to talk.

Ms. Stark stated the National Registry nomination would continue. She stated she would like to ask the Supervisors for a resolution that states what they are willing to commit to so that the new Board of Supervisors could tell the Committee in January or February what they are willing to support. She stated it is obvious that when they talked to candidates who were not familiar with what the Committee is doing, it means that it is not a topic that is considered relevant for

a campaign speech or future investment in what the population is talking about, so this is very back-burner. She stated until the Committee finds out that this is in the forefront, she does not feel the Committee has much support.

Mr. Steadman stated he would not want to see a pause with the submission to the National Registry. He suggested that the Committee pause its public meetings and have dialogue with Township staff on individual assignments such as the National Registry and environmental clean-up. In January, the Committee would request a meeting with the Board of Supervisors either in public or in Executive Session where the Committee can express its concerns and give the Supervisors an opportunity to provide direction once the new Board is seated.

Mr. Solor stated he would be in favor of Mr. Steadman's suggestion but would also recommend that the Committee meet in January since by that time there would be an assigned Supervisors liaison who may attend their meeting, and the Committee could communicate with that individual. He stated if they do not show up, that would be an indicator that things are not going to go well. He stated he does not feel anything warrants the Committee having a meeting between now and January unless there is a Revised Agreement received back.

Mr. Schmid stated he wants to see the National Registry proceed and volunteers be used; however, he feels the Committee needs to send a very clear message because these issues have been discussed all year. He would agree in taking a pause until the new Board of Supervisors takes over, and have a meeting in January to see if the Board of Supervisors re-constitutes the Committee and gives a clear charge after having met with the Committee. He stated he does not want to disband the Committee, but wants there to be a pause. He stated what Mr. Steadman read earlier should be shared with the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Childs stated his summary is to suspend public meetings for November and December with the next public meeting to be in January, continue the individual dialogue on the National Register and interface with the Township on hazardous materials, etc., and schedule a meeting with the new Board of Supervisors or some

complement of which and the new liaison in January separately from the Committee's public meeting.

Mr. Schmid stated we also need a very clear direction from the Board of Supervisors on the future of where we are going including the creation of the 501C3. He stated if the Board advised in January that they are not going to create a 501C3, there is no reason for the Committee to exist. Mr. Steadman stated it is not only creating a 501C3, it is also being willing to enter into a non-restrictive Lease Agreement with a 501C3. He stated from what they have seen, it appears everything the 501C3 is to take action on needs Board of Supervisor approval. Mr. Steadman stated the Committee can meet with the Board liaison at the Committee's meeting in January and the Board liaison and/or the Committee will then take their comments to the full Board of Supervisors asking for commitments, guidance, and direction that the Committee has discussed and needs.

Ms. Stark stated she feels the timeline would be that we would be looking for direction by February, and Mr. Steadman agreed.

Mr. Solor stated he feels the Committee needs to meet with the Board and not just the liaison. Mr. Steadman stated while he agrees, he also wants to respect the liaison structure and the new liaison him/herself to start; although ultimately it does have to be a dialogue between the Committee and the full Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Solor moved to have a Motion to have Mr. Steadman's presentation submitted to the Board of Supervisors as a memorandum in conjunction with the fact that the Committee is going to pause and not have meetings in November and December but will meet in January with the expectation that the Committee will be able to discuss the Committee's charge with the Board of Supervisors in January with greater commitment, guidance, and direction by February. Mr. Childs seconded, and the Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Steadman and Mr. Childs will work together on what will be presented to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Camaratta stated it could be argued that we have fulfilled our Charter according to the Resolution that formed the Committee as it states "The Committee's mandate is to take steps to recommend all necessary measures to implement Phase 1 of the Master Plan, and in doing so consider the various relevant environment studies completed.

The Committee will also consider options for non-profit entities for management of Patterson Farm and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors,” which the Committee has done. Mr. Camaratta stated one of the approaches we could take is that we have fulfilled that; and see if the Board of Supervisors is interested in having the Committee continue, otherwise we could disband. Mr. Solor stated that could be part of the January discussions.

Mr. Schmid stated even though the Committee is not meeting, we are still committed to the National Registry submission and volunteer activity. Mr. Camaratta stated he will finish the submission as he has committed to doing that. Mr. Steadman stated the Committee has recommended that the submission go in, and Mr. Camaratta as a member of the Committee and the Historical Commission has worked on that. He added it cannot go in however, until the Board of Supervisors approves it. Mr. Camaratta agreed, adding it is a recommendation in the Master Plan. He stated he is meeting with Mr. Kratzer this week advising him that while he can prepare it, he cannot submit it without the Board of Supervisors' approval.

## 5. Other Business

Mr. Childs stated Supervisor Blundi and Supervisor McCartney are finishing their term in December, and particularly Supervisor Blundi was very instrumental in initiating the Ad Hoc Property Committee and was our liaison and attended our meetings, and provided support back to the Board of Supervisors. He thanked them for their efforts and support for the Committee. Mr. Steadman stated Supervisor Blundi was an asset and colleague through this whole pursuit, and he thanked her.

## 6. Public Comment

There was no one wishing to make public comment at this time

## 7. Review of Assignments and Future Schedule – Mr. Childs

- A. Provide Mr. Childs with the reports noted earlier to be attached to the Minutes of the August 26, 2025 meeting.

- B. The previously-scheduled November and December meetings will be cancelled. (Subsequently, it was clarified that these meetings will be suspended pending any need to meet to address or take any specific action.)

There being no further business, Mr. Schmid moved, Mr. Childs seconded and it was unanimously carried to adjourn the meeting.

Respectfully Submitted,

Fred Childs, Secretary

The following was sent to the Board of Supervisors on October 31 as a subsequent action taken in accordance with the decisions made at the meeting:

To the Lower Makefield Township Board of Supervisors:

By a unanimous resolution of the members of the Patterson Farm Master Plan Implementation Committee on October 28, 2025, the attached memorandum is presented to the Lower Makefield Township Board of Supervisors and Township Manager for your consideration and appropriate action.

We look forward to discussing this further with you so we may continue to progress this valuable program. Thank you.

Fred Childs  
Secretary

## **LMT Patterson Farm Master Plan Implementation Committee**

### Summary of Oct. 28<sup>th</sup> Discussion of Committee Future Direction

The goals of the Patterson Farm Master Plan (“Plan”) are clear: to **preserve, restore, and activate** the use of this historic and agricultural property for the benefit of our community. Doing so not only protects an irreplaceable part of our Township’s heritage but also enhances the value and utility of this publicly owned asset.

This Committee’s role is simple: it will help the Township begin carrying out the Plan by offering recommendations up until a nonprofit organization takes over stewardship and leads the Plan’s execution. Our role is to help the Township increase the value of a major community asset and finally resolve the long-standing “problem of Patterson Farm.” A long-term lease to an aligned nonprofit committed to executing the Plan remains **the cornerstone of Plan implementation/execution.**

Although actions such as painting certain buildings, landscaping, demolishing the unsafe corn crib, and removing asbestos have occurred, **progress toward meeting the main objectives for 2025** (entering into a long-term lease agreement with a dedicated nonprofit for stewardship of the property and resolving required environmental assessments and clean-up) has **been limited**. To any objective observer, the Board of Supervisors’ actions — or lack thereof — in 2025 raise doubts about the Township’s commitment to the Plan unanimously approved in 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2023. Should the Township be unwilling or unable to move forward with preservation and utilization of the property, this Committee obviously cannot fulfill its purpose or function. This situation calls for a thoughtful conversation about whether we should continue our volunteer work to support the Plan.

That said, it is possible that 2026 may bring a renewed focus and/or willingness to act from the Board of Supervisors. For that reason, while it appears our mission may have largely run its course under current conditions, it is prudent for the Committee to continue pushing ahead to see whether the Board recommends executing the Plan and preserving this vital community resource.

Given these issues, the Committee decided by resolution at its public meeting on October 28, 2025, to take the following actions:

- To continue progressing ongoing projects, Committee members will continue dialogue with Township staff and Supervisors on any existing activities, including asbestos abatement, Phase II Environmental Assessment, the nonprofit long-term lease agreement, and gaining clear direction from the Board, all of which are central

## **LMT Patterson Farm Master Plan Implementation Committee**

### Summary of Oct. 28<sup>th</sup> Discussion of Committee Future Direction

and essential to Plan execution. In addition, status of outstanding grants, and working with the Historical Commission in preparing a submission for application to the National Register of Historic Places will continue.

- Unless there is a definite need for the Committee to meet publicly to review specific agenda items, including a positive direction toward formation of the nonprofit organization and/or execution of a property lease agreement, the Committee plans to suspend its public meetings for November and December 2025.
- The Committee will schedule its regular monthly meeting for January 2026 following the Board of Supervisors' reorganization. The new Board Liaison member is expected to participate in the meeting, where they will receive a full briefing on current status and issues.
- Unless it can be done sooner, no later than following the January 2026 Committee meeting, the Committee would like to meet with the Board of Supervisors (or a multi-member subset thereof) to have an open dialog on the status of the Plan. Given the major Plan delays and resulting further deterioration of the structures, the Committee sees it necessary to know by February 2026 the position of the Board towards execution of the Plan, including willingness to enter into a non-restrictive lease agreement with a newly formed nonprofit as a top priority. The Committee is willing and able to fully engage in the implementation of the Plan once it receives clear direction from the Board.
- Several members of the Committee have agreed to serve as founding members of the forthcoming nonprofit related to the Plan and property. This nonprofit bridge will leverage the expertise and relationships developed by volunteers dedicated to preserving this Township asset since 2021. These founding nonprofit board members will be quickly expanded and enhanced by new volunteer board members and staff.

***“The vision must be followed by the venture. It is not enough to stare up the steps – we must step up the stairs.”***

*Vance Havner*